



Transform Trust Equality Policy

Policy Number	Author	Publication Date	Review Cycle
313	HR Director	V3 September 2022	Every 2 years

Contents

Summary	4
Chapter 1 – Overview of the Act	5
1.1 Schools: Who and What the Act applies to	5
1.2 Protected Characteristics	5
1.3 Association	5
1.4 Perception	6
1.5 Unlawful Behaviour	6
1.6 Special Provisions for Disability	7
1.7 Definition of Parents	7
1.8 Further Information	8
Chapter 2 - General exceptions	9
2.1 Single Sex Schools	9
2.2 Schools with a Religious Character	9
2.3 Admissions	9
2.4 Benefits Facilities and Services	9
2.5 What is not Permissible	9
2.6 Curriculum	10
2.7 Acts of Worship	10
2.8 Uniforms	11
2.9 Bullying	11
2.10 Schools' Duty of Care	12
Chapter 3 – Special issues for some protected characteristics	13
3.1 Gender Reassignment	13
3.2 Race	13
3.3 Segregating Pupils by Race or Ethnicity	13
3.4 Race Equality Duty	14
3.5 Religion or Belief	14
3.6 Sex/Gender	15
3.7 Gender Equality Duty	15
3.8 Single Sex Classes	15
3.9 Single Sex Sport	15
3.10 Pregnancy and Maternity	15
3.11 Sexual Orientation and Marriage and Civil Partnership	16
3.12 Sexual Orientation and Religion or Belief	16
Chapter 4 - Disability	18
4.1 Provisions Relating to Disability	18
4.2 Definition of Disability	18
4.3 Unlawful Behaviour with Regard to Disabled Pupils	18
4.4 Direct Discrimination	18
4.5 Indirect Discrimination	19
4.6 Discrimination Arising from Disability	19
4.7 Harassment	19
4.8 Disability Equality Duty	19
4.9 Reasonable Adjustments and when they have to be made	19
4.10 Auxiliary Aids and Services	19

4.11 Making Reasonable Adjustments	20
4.12 Schools' Duties around Accessibility for Disabled Pupils	21
Chapter 5 - The Public Sector Equality Duty	22
5.1 Having "Due Regard"	22
5.2 What Compliance with the Specific Duties will mean for Schools	23
5.3 Publishing Information	24
5.4 Eliminating Discrimination and other Conduct that is Prohibited by the Act	24
5.5 Advancing Equality of Opportunity between People who share a Protected Characteristic and People who do not share it	24
5.6 Engagement	25
5.7 How to Publish Information	25
5.8 Equality Objectives	25
Chapter 6 - Local Authorities and Education Functions	27
6.1 School Admissions	27
6.2 School Transport	27
6.3 Reasonable Adjustments for Disabled Pupils	
Chapter 7 – How the Act is Enforced	28
7.1 Discrimination Claims – Court Proceedings	28
7.2 Discrimination Claims – Tribunal Proceedings for Disability Cases	28
7.3 Burden of Proof	28
7.4 The 'Questions Procedure'	28
Chapter 8 – Education Specific Employment Provisions	30
8.1 What the Act Covers	30
8.2 Reasonable Adjustments	30
8.3 Enquiries about Health and Disability	30
8.4 Employment Exceptions for Schools with a Religious Character	31
Further Information	31
Monitoring and Review	31

Summary

On 1 October 2010, the Equality Act 2010 replaced all existing equality legislation such as the Race Relations Act, Disability Discrimination Act and Sex Discrimination Act. This is now consolidated legislation.

- The Equality Act 2010 provides a single, consolidated source of discrimination law. It simplifies the law and it extends protection from discrimination in some areas.
- For the most part, the effect of the current law is the same as it has been in the past – meaning that schools cannot unlawfully discriminate against pupils because of their sex, race, disability, religion or belief or sexual orientation.
- The exceptions to the discrimination provisions for schools are all replicated in the current act – such as the content of the curriculum, collective worship and admissions to single sex schools and schools of a religious character.

Protection in Schools

Protection against discrimination is now extended to pupils who are pregnant or have recently given birth, or who are undergoing gender reassignment.

Health Related Questions for Job Applicants

It is now unlawful for employers to ask health-related questions of applicants before job offer, unless the questions are specifically related to an intrinsic function of the work. This means that we cannot, as a matter of course, require job applicants to complete a generic health questionnaire as part of the application procedure. There are potential implications in relation to establishing teachers' fitness and ability to teach (as required by the Health Standards (England) Regulations 2003). Our practice complies with both the Health Standards Regulations and Section 60 of the Equality Act. As a Trust we do ask necessary health questions after a job offer has been made, but these are targeted, necessary and relevant to the job applied for.

Positive Action

Positive Action provisions allow schools within the Trust to target measures that are designed to alleviate disadvantages experienced by, or to meet the particular needs of, pupils with particular protected characteristics. Such measures will need to be a proportionate way of achieving the relevant aim. Previously a school providing, for example, special catch-up classes for Roma children or a project to engage specifically with alienated Asian boys might have been discriminating unlawfully by excluding children who didn't belong to these groups.

Victimisation

It is now unlawful to victimise a child for anything done in relation to the Act by their parent or sibling.

Auxiliary Aids

The Act extends the reasonable adjustment duty to require schools to provide auxiliary aids and services to disabled pupils. Following consultation on implementation and approach, the duty came into force on 1 September 2012.

Equality Duties

The three previous general and specific equality duties on schools (race, disability and gender) to eliminate discrimination and advance equality of opportunity have been combined into a less bureaucratic and more outcome-focused duties covering an expanded number of protected characteristics. The general duty, public sector equality duty, is explained in Chapter 5 of this advice. As is the lighter touch specific duty. This is set out below.

Chapter 1 – Overview of the Act

The Equality Act 2010 replaced nine major Acts of Parliament and almost a hundred sets of regulations which had been introduced over several decades. It provides a single, consolidated source of discrimination law, covering all the types of discrimination that are unlawful. It simplifies the law by getting rid of anomalies and inconsistencies that had developed over time, and it extends protection against discrimination in certain areas.

The overall effect of the Act will be to reduce a certain amount of bureaucracy and so should be less burdensome and more effective.

1.1 Schools: Who and What the Act applies to

In England and Wales the Act applies to all Academies. The Act makes it unlawful for the responsible body of a school to discriminate against, harass or victimise a pupil or potential pupil:

- in relation to admissions;
- in the way it provides education for pupils;
- in the way it provides pupils access to any benefit, facility or service, or
- by excluding a pupil or subjecting them to any other detriment.

The “responsible body” is Transform Trust. In practice, any persons acting on behalf of the responsible body – including employees of the school – are liable for their own discriminatory actions, and the Trust is also liable unless it can show that it has taken all reasonable steps to stop the individual from doing the discriminatory action or from doing anything of that kind.

The Act deals with the way in which schools treat their pupils and prospective pupils: the relationship between one pupil and another is not within its scope. It does not therefore bear directly on such issues as racist or homophobic bullying by pupils. However, if a school treats bullying which relates to a protected ground less seriously than other forms of bullying – for example dismissing complaints of homophobic bullying or failing to protect a transgender pupil against bullying by classmates – then it may be guilty of unlawful discrimination.

The school’s liability not to discriminate, harass or victimise does not end when a pupil has left the school, but will apply to subsequent actions connected to the previous relationship between school and pupil, such as the provision of references on former pupils or access to “old pupils” communications and activities.

1.2 Protected Characteristics

It is unlawful for a school to discriminate against a pupil or prospective pupil by treating them less favourably because of their:

- sex
- race
- disability
- religion or belief
- sexual orientation
- gender reassignment
- pregnancy or maternity

1.3 Association

It is unlawful to discriminate because of the sex, race, disability, religion or belief, sexual orientation or gender reassignment of another person with whom the pupil is associated. So, for

example, a school must not discriminate by refusing to admit a pupil because their parents are gay. It would be race discrimination to treat a white pupil less favourably because they have a black partner.

1.4 Perception

It is also unlawful to discriminate because of a characteristic which you think a person has, even if you are mistaken. So a teacher who consistently picks on a pupil for being gay will be discriminating because of sexual orientation whether or not the pupil is in fact gay.

The Act extends protection against discrimination on grounds of pregnancy or maternity to pupils, so it will be unlawful – as well as against education policy – for a school to treat a pupil unfavourably because she is pregnant or a new mother.

Protection for transgender pupils against gender reassignment discrimination is also included in this Act.

The term “protected characteristics” is used as a convenient way to refer to the personal characteristics to which the law applies.

A person’s age is also a protected characteristic in relation to employment and the Act extends this (except for children) to the provision of goods and services, but age as a protected characteristic does not apply to pupils in schools. Schools therefore remain free to admit and organise children in age groups and to treat pupils in ways appropriate to their age and stage of development without risk of legal challenge, even in the case of pupils over the age of 18.

1.5 Unlawful Behaviour

The Act defines four kinds of unlawful behaviour – direct discrimination; indirect discrimination; harassment and victimisation.

Direct discrimination occurs when one person treats another less favourably, because of a protected characteristic, than they treat – or would treat – other people. This describes the most clear-cut and obvious examples of discrimination – for example if a school were to refuse to let a pupil be a prefect because they are gay.

Indirect discrimination occurs when a “provision, criterion or practice” is applied generally but has the effect of putting people with a particular characteristic at a disadvantage when compared to people without that characteristic. An example might be holding a parents’ meeting on a Friday evening, which could make it difficult for observant Jewish parents to attend. It is a defence against a claim of indirect discrimination if it can be shown to be “a proportionate means of achieving a legitimate aim”. This means both that the reason for the rule or practice is legitimate, and that it could not reasonably be achieved in a different way which did not discriminate.

Harassment has a specific legal definition in the Act - it is “unwanted conduct, related to a relevant protected characteristic, which has the purpose or effect of violating a person’s dignity or creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment for that person”. This covers unpleasant and bullying behaviour, but potentially extends also to actions which, whether intentionally or unintentionally, cause offence to a person because of a protected characteristic.

Where schools are concerned, the offence of harassment as defined in this way in the Act applies only to harassment because of disability, race, sex or pregnancy and maternity, and not to religion or belief, sexual orientation or gender reassignment. It is very important to recognise that this

does not mean that schools are free to bully or harass pupils on these other grounds - to do so would still be unlawful as well as unacceptable. Any case against the school would be on grounds of direct discrimination rather than harassment.

Thus, if a teacher belittles a pupil and holds them up to ridicule in class because of a disability they have, this could lead to a court case alleging unlawful harassment. The same unacceptable treatment directed at a gay pupil, or based on a pupil's religion, could lead to a case claiming direct discrimination. The practical consequences for the school, and the penalties, would be no different.

Victimisation occurs when a person is treated less favourably than they otherwise would have been because of something they have done ("a protected act") in connection with the Act. A protected act might involve, for example, making an allegation of discrimination or bringing a case under the Act, or supporting another person's complaint by giving evidence or information, but it includes anything that is done under or in connection with the Act. Even if what a person did or said was incorrect or misconceived, for example based on a misunderstanding of the situation or of what the law provides, they are protected against retaliation unless they were acting in bad faith. The reason for this is to ensure that people are not afraid to raise genuine concerns about discrimination because of fear of retaliation.

As well as it being unlawful to victimise a person who does a protected act, a child must not be victimised because of something done by their parent or a sibling in relation to the Act. This means that a child must not be made to suffer in any way because, for example, their parent has made a complaint of sex discrimination against the school, or their sibling has claimed that a teacher is bullying them because they are gay, whether or not the parent or sibling was acting in good faith.

If a pupil themselves have taken action as part of a protected act – such as making a complaint of discrimination against a teacher – then the child's own good faith will be relevant. For example, if the parent's complaint is based on information from their child and the child was deliberately lying, it is not victimisation for the school to punish the child in the same way as it might do any other dishonest pupil. Unless it can be clear that the parent was also acting in bad faith (for example that they knew their child was lying) it would still be unlawful to victimize them for pursuing the complaint.

1.6 Special Provisions for Disability

The law on disability discrimination is different from the rest of the Act in a number of ways. In particular, it works in only one direction – that is to say, it protects disabled people but not people who are not disabled. This means that schools are allowed to treat disabled pupils more favourably than non-disabled pupils, and in some cases are required to do so, by making reasonable adjustments to put them on a more level footing with pupils without disabilities. The definition of what constitutes discrimination is more complex. Provision for disabled pupils is closely connected with the regime for children with special educational needs. Chapter 4 deals in detail with disability issues.

1.7 Definition of Parents

Any reference to a parent in the Act and in this policy is a wide reference (as in education law generally) not only to a pupil's birth parents but to adoptive, step and foster parents, or other persons who have parental responsibility for, or who have care of, a pupil.

1.8 Further Information

The Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) has developed detailed technical guidance available [on their website](#). It goes into more detail than this advice.

Chapter 2 - General Exceptions

These are exceptions which apply to all schools or broad categories of schools – schools with a religious character and single sex schools.

2.1 Single Sex Schools

There are no single sex schools within Transform Trust.

2.2 Schools with a Religious Character

Schools with a religious character (commonly known as faith schools) have certain exceptions to the religion or belief provisions which allow them to discriminate because of religion or belief in relation to admissions and in access to any benefit, facility or service.

2.3 Admissions

Schools with a religious character may give priority in admissions to members of their own religion. The Admissions Code provides that this may only be done when a school is oversubscribed – schools subject to the Code are not permitted to refuse admission to pupils not of their faith if they have unfilled places. For example, a Muslim school may lawfully give priority to Muslim pupils when choosing between applicants for admission. However, the Admissions Code will not allow it to refuse to accept pupils of another or no religion unless it is oversubscribed.

The exception is not in fact confined to preferring children of the school's own faith. It would, for example, allow a Church of England school to allocate some places to children from Hindu or Muslim families if it wanted to ensure a mixed intake reflecting the diversity of the local population. It would not, however, allow the school to base this selection on ethnic background rather than faith.

2.4 Benefits Facilities and Services

In addition to the admissions exception, schools with a religious character also have exceptions for how they provide education to pupils and in the way they allow access to other aspects of school life which are not necessarily part of the curriculum. For example:

- A Church of England school which organises visits for pupils to sites of particular interest to its own faith, such as a cathedral, is not discriminating unlawfully by not arranging trips to sites of significance to the faiths of other pupils.
- A child of a different faith could not claim, for example, that they were being treated less favourably because objects symbolic of a school's faith, such as the Bible, were given a special status in the school.

2.5 What is Not Permissible

These exceptions allow such schools to conduct themselves in a way which is compatible with their religious ethos. But the Equality Act does not permit less favourable treatment of a pupil because they do not (or no longer) belong to the school's religion. For example, it would be unlawful for a Catholic school to treat a pupil less favourably because they rejected the Catholic faith and declared themselves to be a Jehovah's Witness or an atheist.

Nor does it allow them to discriminate on religious grounds in other respects, such as excluding a pupil or subjecting a pupil to any other detriment. It also does not permit them to discriminate in relation to other protected characteristics, for example a school with a religious character would be acting unlawfully if it refused to admit a child because they were gay – or their parents were.

2.6 Curriculum

The content of the school curriculum has never been caught by discrimination law, and this Act now states explicitly that it is excluded. However the way in which a school provides education – the delivery of the curriculum – is explicitly included.

Excluding the content of the curriculum ensures that schools are free to include a full range of issues, ideas and materials in their syllabus, and to expose pupils to thoughts and ideas of all kinds, however challenging or controversial, without fear of legal challenge based on a protected characteristic. But schools will need to ensure that the way in which issues are taught does not subject individual pupils to discrimination.

Some examples can best explain the distinction between content and delivery of the curriculum as the Act applies:

- A boy complains that it is sex discrimination for him to be required to do a module on feminist thought.
- A girl complains that putting *The Taming of the Shrew* on the syllabus is discriminatory; or a Jewish pupil objects to having to study *The Merchant of Venice*.
- A fundamentalist Christian objects to the teaching of evolution in science lessons unbalanced by the teaching of “intelligent design”.
- A school does a project to mark Gay Pride Week. A heterosexual pupil claims that he finds this embarrassing and that it discriminates against him on grounds of his sexual orientation; a Christian or a Muslim pupil objects to it on religious grounds.
- A Muslim pupil objects to the works of Salman Rushdie being included on a reading list.

All of the above are examples of complaints against the content of the curriculum, and none of them would give rise to a valid complaint under the Act.

However, valid complaints that the curriculum is being delivered in a discriminatory way might well arise in situations such as the following:

- A teacher uses the fact that ‘*The Taming of the Shrew*’ is a set book to make derogatory generalisations about the inferiority of women, in a way which makes the females in the class feel belittled. Or, in teaching ‘*The Merchant of Venice*’, they encourage the class to laugh at a Jewish pupil.
- In class discussions, black pupils are never called on and the teacher makes it clear that they are not interested in their views.
- Girls are not allowed to do design technology or boys are discouraged from doing food technology. This is not intrinsic to the curriculum itself but to the way in which education is made available to pupils.
- The girls’ cricket team are not allowed equal access to the cricket nets, or the boys’ hockey team is given far better resources than the girls’ team. This would be less favourable delivery of education rather than to do with the sports curriculum per se.

2.7 Acts of Worship

There is a general exception, which applies to all schools, to the religion or belief provisions which allows all schools to have acts of worship or other forms of collective religious observance. This means the daily act of collective worship. The exception means that schools will not be acting unlawfully if they do not provide an equivalent act of worship for other faiths.

Schools are also free to celebrate religious festivals and could not be claimed to be discriminating against children of other faiths if, for example, they put on a nativity play at Christmas or hold a celebration to mark other religious festivals such as Diwali or Eid.

2.8 Uniforms

The Equality Act does not deal specifically with school uniform or other aspects of appearance such as hair colour and style, and the wearing of jewellery and make-up, but the general requirement not to discriminate in the treatment of pupils applies here as in relation to other aspects of school policy. It is for the Local Governing Body (LGB) of a school to decide whether there should be a school uniform and other rules relating to appearance, and if so what they should be. This flows from the duties placed upon the LGB by statute to manage the school.

Long-standing guidance makes it clear that schools must have regard to their obligations under the Human Rights Act 1998 (it is here rather than in relation to equality law that most case law has been determined to date) as well as under equality law, and that they need to be careful that blanket uniform policies do not discriminate because of race, religion or belief, gender, disability, gender reassignment or sexual orientation. Consequently it will be up to the individual school to consider the implications their uniform requirements have on their pupils.

For example, differences in dress requirements for girls and boys are standard, and where they don't have significantly more detrimental effects on one gender or the other they are unlikely to be regarded as discriminatory. But it might be unlawful if, for example, the uniform was considerably more expensive for girls than for boys. Schools need also to consider whether flexibility is needed in relation to uniform to meet the needs of a pupil who is undergoing gender reassignment. It may also be discrimination because of disability if, for example, a child who has a skin condition which means they cannot wear nylon is not allowed to wear cotton trousers as part of the uniform.

There are potential issues around school uniform policies and religion and belief. Schools should be sensitive to the needs of different cultures, races and religions and act reasonably in accommodating these needs, without compromising important school policies, such as school safety or discipline. It is well established that it would be race discrimination to refuse to let a Sikh child wear a turban because of a school policy requiring that caps be worn, but legal judgments have not supported the absolute right of people of faith to wear garments or jewellery to indicate that faith.

2.9 Bullying

The issue of bullying motivated by prejudice is a particularly sensitive issue. Although the relationship between one pupil and another is not within the scope of the Act, schools need to ensure that all forms of prejudice-motivated bullying are taken seriously and dealt with equally and firmly.

The Department for Education (DfE) has published specific guidance on bullying including homophobic and transphobic bullying and bullying related to sexual orientation, transgender, disability, race and religion. This is available on [GOV.UK](https://www.gov.uk).

The Gender Identity Research and Education Society have published '[Guidance on Combating Transphobic Bullying in Schools](#)'.

And Stonewall have a wealth of material on homophobic bullying on their [website](#).

2.10 Schools' Duty of Care

This guidance sets out the position on the extent of the Equality Act only. However, as pointed out already, it must be remembered that schools also have many other duties, including their duty of care to their pupils, and their duty to deliver key areas of the curriculum such as religious education or sex and relationship education.

Chapter 3 – Special Issues for Some Protected Characteristics

This Chapter covers all protected characteristics apart from disability, which is covered separately in Chapter 4. It outlines general concepts applicable to all or most characteristics and specific exceptions where they apply. It also provides some definitions of protected characteristics and the interaction between some of those characteristics.

3.1 Gender Reassignment

Protection from discrimination because of gender reassignment in schools is new for pupils in the Equality Act, although school staff are already protected. This means that for the first time it will be unlawful for schools to treat pupils less favourably because of their gender reassignment and that schools will have to factor in gender reassignment when considering their obligations under the Equality Duty.

Gender reassignment is defined in the Equality Act as applying to anyone who is undergoing, has undergone or is proposing to undergo a process (or part of a process) of reassigning their gender by changing physiological or other attributes. This definition means that in order to be protected under the Act, a pupil will not necessarily have to be undertaking a medical procedure to change their gender but must be taking steps to live in the opposite gender, or proposing to do so.

A glossary of terminology related to the transgender field can be found on the [Gender Identity Research and Education Society website](#).

The protection against discrimination because of gender reassignment now matches the protection because of sexual orientation in schools. That is protection from direct and indirect discrimination and victimisation, which includes discrimination based on perception and on association. Schools need to make sure that all gender variant pupils, or the children of transgender parents, are not singled out for different and less favourable treatment from that given to other pupils. They should check that there are no practices which could result in unfair, less favourable treatment of such pupils. For example, it would be unlawful discrimination for a teacher to single out a pupil undergoing gender reassignment and embarrass him in front of the class because of this characteristic.

It is relatively rare for pupils – particularly very young pupils – to want to undergo gender reassignment, but when a pupil does so a number of issues will arise which will need to be sensitively handled. There is evidence that the number of such cases is increasing and schools should aim to address any issues early on and in a proactive way. Further guidance is available from the GIRES website for links to their bullying guidance. In addition, a partnership of bodies in Cornwall have produced a useful [guidance document for schools and families](#).

3.2 Race

The definition of race includes colour, nationality and ethnic or national origins.

Schools need to make sure that pupils of all races are not singled out for different and less favourable treatment from that given to other pupils. Schools should check that there are no practices which could result in unfair, less favourable treatment of such pupils.

3.3 Segregating Pupils by Race or Ethnicity

Segregation of pupils by race is always direct discrimination. It would thus be unlawful for a school to put children into sets, or into different sports in physical education (PE) classes, according to their ethnicity. This stipulation in the Act is to make it clear that claims that segregated treatment is “separate but equal” cannot be sustained where race is concerned. It does not mean that

schools cannot take positive action to deal with particular disadvantages affecting children of one racial or ethnic group, where this can be shown to be a proportionate way of dealing with such issues.

3.4 Race Equality Duty

Schools previously had a statutory duty which required them to take proactive steps to tackle racial discrimination, and promote equality of opportunity and good race relations. Under the Equality Act, this has been replaced by the general equality duty and the specific duties – covered in Chapter 5 of this policy.

3.5 Religion or Belief

Please also see the section on schools with a religious character in Chapter 2.

The Equality Act defines “religion” as being any religion, and “belief” as any religious or philosophical belief. A lack of religion or a lack of belief are also protected characteristics. These definitions are fairly broad and the concepts of religion and belief therefore must be construed in accordance with Article 9 of the European Convention on Human Rights and with existing case law. This means that to benefit from protection under the Act, a religion or belief must have a clear structure and belief system, and should have a certain level of cogency, seriousness and cohesion, and not be incompatible with human dignity.

“Religion” will include for example all the major faith groups and “belief” will include non-religious worldviews such as humanism. Religion will also include denominations or sects within a religion, such as Catholicism or Protestantism within Christianity. It is not however intended to include political beliefs such as Communism or support for any particular political party.

Lack of religion or belief is also included in the definition of “religion or belief”. This means it will be unlawful to discriminate against someone on the grounds that they do not adhere, or sufficiently adhere, to a particular religion or belief (even one shared by the discriminator), or indeed any religion or belief at all – such as, for example, an atheist.

Discrimination because of religion or belief means treating a person less favourably than another person is or would be treated, because of their religion or belief, or the religion or belief they are perceived to have, their lack of religion or belief, or the religion or belief, or lack of it, of someone else with whom they are associated.

The Equality Act makes it clear that unlawful religious discrimination can include discrimination against another person of the same religion or belief as the discriminator. This is to ensure that any potential discrimination between, e.g. Orthodox and Reform Jews, or Shia and Sunni Muslims, would also be unlawful. So if a Muslim pupil is not chosen for a part in a school play because it is thought to be inappropriate for a girl of that faith, that will be discrimination even if the decision was taken by a Muslim teacher. Nor could a Muslim teacher choose one Muslim pupil over another for a part in the play because they think the chosen pupil is a more observant member of their faith and should be rewarded.

The definition of discrimination on grounds of religion or belief does not address discrimination on any other ground (such as race, sex or sexual orientation). The Act does not allow a teacher to discriminate against a pupil because of their own personal religious views about homosexuality or the role of women for example. This is explained more thoroughly later in this Chapter, in the section entitled Sexual Orientation and Religion or Belief.

3.6 Sex/Gender

Schools need to make sure that pupils of one gender are not singled out for different and less favourable treatment from that given to other pupils. They should check that there are no practices which could result in unfair, less favourable treatment of boys or girls. For example, it would be unlawful for a school to require girls to learn needlework while giving boys the choice between needlework and woodwork classes.

3.7 Gender Equality Duty

Schools previously had a statutory duty to promote gender equality and have a gender equality scheme in place. Under the Equality Act, this has been replaced by the general equality duty and the specific duties – this is covered in Chapter 5 of this guidance.

3.8 Single Sex Classes

Whilst there is no express exemption in the same way that there is for same sex schools, it is not necessarily unlawful to have some single sex classes in a mixed school, provided that this does not give children in such classes an unfair advantage or disadvantage when compared to children of the other genders in other classes. For example, it would be lawful to teach sex education to single-sex classes, as long as the classes were provided to both boys and girls, but unlawful to provide remedial classes just for boys who needed help with reading without doing the same for girls in a similar position. A positive action initiative specifically to help boys in such a position would not necessarily be unlawful but the school would need to be able to show that this was a proportionate way of dealing with a specific disadvantage experienced by boys and connected to their gender. It would not be proportionate simply to refuse help to girls with reading difficulties in order to help boys as a group catch up with the higher average attainment of girls. Pupils undergoing gender reassignment should be allowed to attend the single sex class that accords with the gender role in which they identify.

3.9 Single Sex Sport

Although the Equality Act forbids discrimination in access to benefits, facilities and services; the Act does contain an exception which permits single sex sports. It applies to participation in any sport or game, or other activity of a competitive nature, where the physical strength, stamina or physique of the average woman (or girl) would put them at a disadvantage in competition with the average man (or boy). But while this exception might permit a mixed school to have a boys' only football team, the school would still have to allow girls equal opportunities to participate in comparable sporting activities. The judgment on whether girls would be at a physical disadvantage needs to take into account the particular group in question, so it is much less likely to justify segregated sports for younger children. Where separate teams exist, it would be unlawful discrimination for a school to treat one group less favourably – for example by providing the boys' hockey or cricket team with much better resources than the girls'.

3.10 Pregnancy and Maternity

Protection for pupils from discrimination because of pregnancy and maternity in schools is covered in the Equality Act. This means it is unlawful for schools to treat a pupil less favourably because she becomes pregnant or has recently had a baby, or because she is breastfeeding. Schools will also have to factor in pregnancy and maternity when considering their obligations under the Equality Duty (see Chapter 5).

Local Authorities (LAs) have a duty under Section 19 of the Education Act 1996 to arrange suitable full-time education for any pupils of compulsory school age who would not otherwise receive such an education. This could include pupils of compulsory school age who become pregnant or who are parents. In particular, where pupils are unable to attend their previous school, the LA

would need to consider whether this duty is applied. 'Suitable education' should meet the individual needs of the pupil and must take account of their age, ability, aptitude and individual needs including any special educational needs they may have. LAs must have regard to statutory guidance on alternative provision and the ensuring a good education for children unable to attend school because of health needs. This guidance is available on www.GOV.UK.

3.11 Sexual Orientation and Marriage and Civil Partnership

Schools need to make sure that all gay, lesbian or bi-sexual pupils, or the children of gay, lesbian or bi-sexual parents, are not singled out for different and less favourable treatment from that given to other pupils. They should check that there are no practices which could result in unfair, less favourable treatment of such pupils. For example, it would be unlawful for a school to refuse to let a gay pupil become a prefect because of their sexual orientation.

Whilst it is a legal requirement for maintained schools to teach about the 'nature of marriage' when they are delivering sex education, we encourage our schools to also teach about this topic, and when they do so, they must have regard to the Secretary of State's guidance on sex and relationship education. Schools must accurately state the facts about marriage of same sex couples under the law of England and Wales, in a way that is appropriate to the age and level of understanding and awareness of the pupils.

Teaching about marriage must be done in a sensitive, reasonable, respectful and balanced way. Teachers are subject to professional requirements, the school curriculum, school policies, and anti-discrimination duties towards colleagues and pupils.

No school, or individual teacher, is under a duty to support, promote or endorse marriage of same sex couples. Teaching should be based on facts and should enable pupils to develop an understanding of how the law applies to different relationships. Teachers must have regard to statutory guidance on sex and relationship education, and to meet duties under equality and human rights law.

3.12 Sexual Orientation and Religion or Belief

There is a relationship between protection because of sexual orientation and protection of religious freedom. Protection in the area of discrimination on grounds of religion or belief and the right to manifest one's religion or belief is set out earlier in this Chapter 3.

Many people's views on sexual orientation/sexual activity are themselves grounded in religious belief. Some schools with a religious character have concerns that they may be prevented from teaching in line with their religious ethos. Teachers have expressed concerns that they may be subject to legal action if they do not voice positive views on same sex relationships, whether or not this view accords with their faith. There are also concerns that schools with a religious character may teach and act in ways unacceptable to lesbian, gay and bisexual pupils and parents when same sex relationships are discussed because there are no express provisions to prevent this occurring.

Schools with a religious character, like all schools, have a responsibility for the welfare of the children in their care and to adhere to curriculum guidance. It is not the intention of the Equality Act to undermine their position as long as they continue to uphold their responsibilities in these areas. If their beliefs are explained in an appropriate way in an educational context that takes into account existing guidance on the delivery of Sex and Relationships Education (SRE) and Religious Education (RE), then schools should not be acting unlawfully.

However, if a school conveyed its belief in a way that involved haranguing, harassing or berating a particular pupil or group of pupils then this would be unacceptable in any circumstances and is likely to constitute unlawful discrimination.

Where individual teachers are concerned, having a view about something does not amount to discrimination. So it should not be unlawful for a teacher in any school to express personal views on sexual orientation provided that it is done in an appropriate manner and context (for example when responding to questions from pupils, or in a Religious Education (RE) or Personal, Social, Health and Economic Education (PSHE) lesson). However, it should be remembered that school teachers are in a very influential position and their actions and responsibilities are bound by much wider duties than this legislation. A teacher's ability to express his or her views should not extend to allowing them to discriminate against others.

Chapter 4 - Disability

As mentioned in Chapter 1, and as in previous equality legislation, the disability provisions in this Act are different from those for other protected characteristics in a number of ways.

The overriding principle of equality legislation is generally one of equal treatment- i.e. that you must treat a black person no less well than a white person, or a man as favourably as a woman. However, the provisions relating to disability discrimination are different in that you may, and often must, treat a disabled person more favourably than a person who is not disabled and may have to make changes to your practices to ensure, as far as is reasonably possible, that a disabled person can benefit from what you offer to the same extent that a person without that disability can. So in a school setting the general principle is that you have to treat male and female, black and white, gay and straight pupils equally - but you may be required to treat disabled pupils differently. Discrimination is also defined rather differently in relation to disability

4.1 Provisions Relating to Disability

The disability provisions in the Equality Act mainly replicate those in the former Disability Discrimination Act (DDA). There are some minor differences as follows:

- Unlike the DDA the Equality Act does not list the types of day to day activities which a disabled person must demonstrate that they cannot carry out, thus making the definition of disability less restrictive for disabled people to meet.
- Failure to make a reasonable adjustment can no longer be defended as justified. The fact that it must be reasonable provides the necessary test.
- Direct discrimination against a disabled person can no longer be defended as justified – bringing it into line with the definition of direct discrimination generally.
- From September 2012 schools have a duty to supply auxiliary aids and services as reasonable adjustments where these are not being supplied through Special Educational Needs (SEN) statements or from other sources. In practice this will already be being done in many cases.

4.2 Definition of Disability

The Act defines disability as when a person has a ‘physical or mental impairment which has a substantial and long term adverse effect on that person’s ability to carry out normal day to day activities.’ Some specified medical conditions, HIV, multiple sclerosis and cancer are all considered as disabilities, regardless of their effect.

The Act sets out details of matters that may be relevant when determining whether a person meets the definition of disability. Long term is defined as lasting, or likely to last, for at least 12 months.

4.3 Unlawful Behaviour with Regard to Disabled Pupils

Chapter 1 explains the general definitions in the Act of direct discrimination, indirect discrimination, victimisation and harassment. The rather different and more complex provisions that apply in the case of disability are set out there.

4.4 Direct Discrimination

A school must not treat a disabled pupil less favourably simply because that pupil is disabled – for example by having an admission bar on disabled applicants.

A change for schools in this Act is that there can no longer be justification for direct discrimination in any circumstances. Under the DDA schools could justify some direct discrimination – if was a

proportionate means of meeting a legitimate aim. What the change means is that if a school discriminates against a person purely because of his or her disability (even if they are trying to achieve a legitimate aim) then it would be unlawful discrimination as there can be no justification for their actions.

4.5 Indirect Discrimination

A school must not do something which applies to all pupils but which is more likely to have an adverse effect on disabled pupils only – for example having a rule that all pupils must demonstrate physical fitness levels before being admitted to the school – unless they can show that it is done for a legitimate reason, and is a proportionate way of achieving that legitimate aim.

4.6 Discrimination Arising from Disability

A school must not discriminate against a disabled pupil because of something that is a consequence of their disability – for example by not allowing a disabled pupil on crutches outside at break time because it would take too long for them to get out and back. Like indirect discrimination, discrimination arising from disability can potentially be justified.

4.7 Harassment

A school must not harass a pupil because of their disability – for example, a teacher shouting at the pupil because the disability means that they are constantly struggling with class-work or unable to concentrate.

4.8 Disability Equality Duty

Schools previously had a statutory duty which required them to take proactive steps to tackle disability discrimination, and promote equality of opportunity for disabled pupils. Under the Equality Act, this has been replaced by the general equality duty and the specific duties – covered in Chapter 5 of this guidance.

4.9 Reasonable Adjustments and when they have to be made

The duty to make reasonable adjustments applies only to disabled people. For schools the duty is summarised as follows:

- Where something a school does places a disabled pupil at a disadvantage compared to other pupils then the school must take reasonable steps to try and avoid that disadvantage.
- Schools will be expected to provide an auxiliary aid or service for a disabled pupil when it would be reasonable to do so and if such an aid would alleviate any substantial disadvantage that the pupil faces in comparison to non-disabled pupils.

Schools are not subject to the requirement of reasonable adjustment duty concerned with make alterations to physical features because this is already considered as part of their planning duties.

4.10 Auxiliary Aids and Services

The duty to provide auxiliary aids as part of the reasonable adjustment duty is a change for all schools from September 2012.

Many disabled children will have a SEN and may need auxiliary aids which are necessary as part of their SEN provision; in some circumstances as part of a formal SEN statement. These aids may be provided in the school under the SEN route, in which case there will be no need for the school to provide those aids as part of their reasonable adjustment duty.

Schools will have to consider whether to provide auxiliary aids as a reasonable adjustment for disabled children. This will particularly be the case where a disabled child does not have an SEN statement or where the statement does not provide the auxiliary aid or service.

There should be no assumption, however, that if an auxiliary aid is not provided under the SEN regime then it must be provided as a reasonable adjustment. Similarly, whilst schools are under the same reasonable adjustment duty, there should be no assumption that where it is unreasonable for a school to provide an auxiliary aid or service, for example on cost grounds, it would then be reasonable for the LA to provide it. All decisions would depend on the facts of each individual case. The nature of the aid or service, and perhaps also the existence of local arrangements between schools and LAs, will help to determine what would be reasonable for the school or the LA to provide. For example, where there is a centrally organised visual or hearing impairment service it may be reasonable for the LA to provide more expensive aids or support through that service but not reasonable for an individual school to have to provide them.

The term “auxiliary aids” found in the Equality Act 2010 covers both auxiliary aids and services but there is no legal definition for what constitutes auxiliary aids and services. Considering the everyday meaning of the words, is, however, helpful. Legal cases have referred to the Oxford English Dictionary definition of auxiliary as “helpful, assistant, affording aid, rendering assistance, giving support or succour” and that auxiliary aids and services “are things or persons which help.” Examples of what may be considered an auxiliary aid could be; hearing loops; adaptive keyboards and special software. However the key test is reasonableness and what may be reasonable for one school to provide may not be reasonable for another given the circumstances of each case.

Some disabled children will have a need for auxiliary aids which are not directly related to their educational needs or their participation in school life, for example, things which are generally necessary for all aspects of their life, such as hearing aids. It is likely to be held that it would be unreasonable for a school to be expected to provide these auxiliary aids.

4.11 Making Reasonable Adjustments

The EHRC has published guidance on the auxiliary aids duty which includes advice on when it would be reasonable for schools to have to make adjustments and what factors a school should take into account in its assessment of whether or not it would be reasonable to make any particular adjustment. The guidance is available at <http://www.equalityhumanrights.com/legal-and-policy/equality-act/equality-act-codes-of-practice/>.

A minor change for schools is that a failure to make a reasonable adjustment cannot now be justified, whereas under the previous disability discrimination legislation it could be. However this change should not have any practical effect due to the application of the reasonableness test – i.e. if an adjustment is reasonable then it should be made and there can be no justification for why it is not made. Schools will not be expected to make adjustments that are not reasonable.

In addition to having a duty to consider reasonable adjustments for particular individual disabled pupils, schools will also have to consider potential adjustments which may be needed for disabled pupils generally as it is likely that any school will have a disabled pupil at some point. However, schools are not obliged to anticipate and make adjustments for every imaginable disability and need only consider general reasonable adjustments - e.g. being prepared to produce large font papers for pupils with a visual impairment even though there are no such pupils currently admitted to the school. Such a strategic and wider view of the school’s approach to planning for disabled pupils will also link closely with its planning duties.

The Act does not set out what would be a reasonable adjustment or a list of factors to consider in determining what is reasonable. It will be for schools to consider the reasonableness of adjustments based on the circumstances of each case. However, factors a school may consider when assessing the reasonableness of an adjustment may include the financial or other resources required for the adjustment, its effectiveness, its effect on other pupils, health and safety requirements, and whether aids have been made available through the Special Educational Needs route).

Cost will inevitably play a major part in determining what is reasonable and it is more likely to be reasonable for a school with substantial financial resources to have to make an adjustment with a significant cost, than for a school with fewer resources. For example, a small rural primary school may not be able to provide specialised IT equipment for any disabled pupils who may need it and it may not be reasonable for the school to provide that equipment. On the other hand, a much larger school might reasonably be expected to provide it.

Often, though, effective and practicable adjustments for disabled pupils will involve little or no cost or disruption and are therefore very likely to be reasonable for a school to have to make.

Schools generally will try to ensure that disabled pupils can play as full a part as possible in school life and the reasonable adjustments duty will help support that. However, there will be times when adjustments cannot be made because to do so would have a detrimental effect on other pupils and would therefore not be reasonable – for example, if a school put on a geology field trip which necessarily involved climbing and walking over rough ground and after fully considering alternatives to accommodate a disabled pupil in a wheelchair who could not take part it determined that there was no viable alternative or way of enabling the disabled pupil to participate or be involved, it would not have to cancel the trip as originally planned. This is unlikely to constitute direct discrimination or failure to make a reasonable adjustment

The reasonable adjustments duties on schools are intended to complement the accessibility planning duties and the existing SEN statement provisions which are part of education legislation, under which local authorities have to provide auxiliary aids to pupils with a statement of special educational need.

4.12 Schools' Duties around Accessibility for Disabled Pupils

Schools and LAs need to carry out accessibility planning for disabled pupils. These are the same duties as previously existed under the DDA and have been replicated in the Equality Act 2010.

Schools must implement accessibility plans which are aimed at:

- increasing the extent to which disabled pupils can participate in the curriculum;
- improving the physical environment of schools to enable disabled pupils to take better advantage of education, benefits, facilities and services provided; and
- improving the availability of accessible information to disabled pupils.

Schools will also need to have regard to the need to provide adequate resources for implementing plans and must regularly review them. An accessibility plan may be a freestanding document but may also be published as part of another document such as the school development plan. Ofsted inspections may include a school's accessibility plan as part of their review.

Chapter 5 - The Public Sector Equality Duty

The Equality Act 2010 introduced a single Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) (sometimes also referred to as the 'general duty') that applies to public bodies, including maintained schools and Academies, and extends to certain protected characteristics - race, disability, sex, age, religion or belief, sexual orientation, pregnancy and maternity and gender reassignment. This combined equality duty came into effect in April 2011. It has three main elements. In carrying out their functions, public bodies are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate discrimination and other conduct that is prohibited by the Act.
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.
- Foster good relations across all characteristics - between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

Where schools are concerned, age will be a relevant characteristic in considering their duties in their role as an employer but not in relation to pupils.

All public bodies were previously bound by three separate sets of duties to promote disability, race and gender equality. The simpler, less bureaucratic, PSED has replaced those three duties.

With the PSED, as with the previous general duties, schools are subject to the need to have due regard to the three elements outlined above. What having "due regard" means in practice has been defined in case law and means giving relevant and proportionate consideration to the duty. For schools this means:

- Decision makers in schools must be aware of the duty to have "due regard" when making a decision or taking an action and must assess whether it may have particular implications for people with particular protected characteristics.
- Schools should consider equality implications before and at the time that they develop policy and take decisions, not as an afterthought, and they need to keep them under review on a continuing basis.
- The PSED has to be integrated into the carrying out of the school's functions, and the analysis necessary to comply with the duty has to be carried out seriously, rigorously and with an open mind – it is not just a question of ticking boxes or following a particular process.
- Schools can't delegate responsibility for carrying out the duty to anyone else.

5.1 Having "Due Regard"

The duty to have "due regard" to equality considerations means that whenever significant decisions are being made or policies developed, thought must be given to the equality implications. The significance of those implications – and the amount of thought that needs to be devoted to them - will vary depending on the nature of the decision. For example, a decision to change the time of school assembly is unlikely to have a significant impact on any particular group. On the other hand, deciding when and where to have a school trip may raise a range of considerations: are the facilities for boys and girls equivalent; are they accessible to disabled pupils; does the date cut across any religious holidays and so exclude some pupils, and so on. It is good practice for schools to keep a note of any equality consideration, although this does not necessarily need to take the form of a formal equality analysis. Publishing it will help to demonstrate that the due regard duty is being fulfilled.

It is good practice for schools to keep a written record to show that they have actively considered their equality duties and asked themselves relevant questions. There is no legal requirement to

produce a formal equality impact assessment document, although for key decisions this might be a helpful tool.

If a school does not record its consideration of the general equality duty when making a decision or carrying out a particular function, this does not automatically mean that the duty to have 'due regard' has not been met. However, if challenged, it will be easier for a school to demonstrate that the duty has been met if a record has been made at the time. The duty only needs to be implemented in a light-touch way, proportionate to the issue being considered.

The Government has also introduced specific duties, which are intended to help public authorities to meet their obligations under the PSED. The PSED is set out on the face of the Act, while the specific duties are set out in secondary legislation (the Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties) Regulations 2011).

5.2 What Compliance with the Specific Duties will mean for Schools

This section is intended to provide schools with practical advice on what is expected of them under the specific duties regulations. Nothing in this advice is intended to be prescriptive – schools have freedom to meet the specific duties in ways appropriate to their own set of circumstances. Rather, this section should be used as a helpful guide to what schools might wish to do to demonstrate that they are complying with the specific duties.

The purpose of the specific duties is to help public bodies fulfil their obligations under the general duty. They are designed to be flexible, light-touch and proportionate rather than being bureaucratic or a "tick-box" exercise. The emphasis is on transparency - making information available so that the school's local community can see how the school is advancing equality in line with the PSED, and what objectives it is using to make this happen.

The specific duties require schools:

- a. to publish information to demonstrate how they are complying with the Public Sector Equality Duty, and;
- b. to prepare and publish equality objectives.

By 6 April 2012 schools were obliged to publish their initial information and first set of objectives demonstrating how it complies with the general duty. Schools have to update the published information at least annually and to publish objectives at least once every four years.

Obligations under the PSED can be satisfied in a way that is proportionate to the decision making that is taking place. The duty is not prescriptive as to how it is satisfied so how one school complies with the duty in relation to a decision it is making can look different for organisations of different sizes and with different levels of resources. Therefore, in terms of publishing information and setting equality objectives, the requirements of the duty will not be the same for a small primary school as they are for a large secondary school.

Schools must publish information relating to persons who share a relevant protected characteristic who are affected by their policies and practices. However, data about employees will not need to be published where a public authority has fewer than 150 employees. This means that for the great majority of schools, only pupil-related data will need to be published. If a school decides that making public some employee-related statistics would help them to demonstrate that they are complying with the general duty they may choose to do so, so long as this does not conflict with principles of data protection. Broadly speaking, schools must ensure that individuals are not able to be identified through the publication of data. The Department for Education does

not generally publish information which relates to fewer than 3 people (school staff or pupils) but it will be for schools to determine whether the data they wish to publish will be suitable or not. The full rules to which DfE adheres on the publication of data can be viewed online at Gov.uk.

The government is clear that the duties should not be overly burdensome on schools. Schools will not be required to collect any statistical data which they do not already collect routinely. A large amount of data is already collected by schools which presents performance data for individual schools broken down by a number of relevant characteristics (sex, race, and also SEN which can be seen as a rough proxy for disability) and which includes comparative analysis with national statistics and with comparable schools, will be a particularly useful source.

It is also important to note that the published information does not necessarily have to be statistical data. Many other kinds of information can be used to show how the school is promoting equality, such as publishing its policies online, or publishing minutes of Governors' meetings.

Under specific duties set out in previous equality legislation, schools were required to produce equality schemes in relation to race, disability and gender. Under the specific duties there are no requirements to create equality schemes. But schools may choose to continue producing such a scheme, if it helps them to comply with the Equality Duty, and they can expand it to cover the additional protected characteristics.

5.3 Publishing Information

It is probably helpful to consider what kind of information will be relevant to showing how each of the three limbs of the duty is being addressed.

5.4 Eliminating Discrimination and other Conduct that is Prohibited by the Act

Evidence that the school is aware of the requirements of the Act and determined to comply with the non-discrimination provisions will be relevant here. This might include copies of any of a range of policies (for example, the behaviour policy or anti-bullying policy, or the recruitment or pay policies) where the importance of avoiding discrimination and other prohibited conduct is expressly noted. If there has been a meeting of staff or of Governors where they are reminded of their responsibilities under the Act, a note of that meeting could also be useful evidence that due regard is being had to this part of the duty. Evidence of staff training on the Equality Act would also be appropriate, as would a note of how the school monitors equality issues.

5.5 Advancing equality of Opportunity between People who share a Protected Characteristic and People who do not share it

Advancing equality of opportunity involves, in particular:

- a. removing or minimising disadvantages suffered by people which are connected to a particular characteristic they have (for example disabled pupils, or gay pupils who are being subjected to homophobic bullying);
- b. taking steps to meet the particular needs of people who have a particular characteristic (for example enabling Muslim pupils to pray at prescribed times); and
- c. encouraging people who have a particular characteristic to participate fully in any activities (for example encouraging both boys and girls, and pupils from different ethnic backgrounds, to be involved in the full range of school societies).

Attainment data which shows how pupils with different characteristics (eg boys or girls) are performing will obviously be relevant here, in particular in helping to identify whether there are areas of inequality which may need to be addressed.

To show that due regard is being had to the importance of advancing equality of opportunity, schools will also need to include information about the steps they have taken in response to their analysis of the available data – for example, work being done to support disabled children, or special steps taken to help boys improve their performance in writing or girls to catch up in science, or to boost the English language skills of bi lingual children from certain minority ethnic groups. None of this needs to be complicated; most of the information will already be contained in easily available documents such as reports to the governing body. Evidence which shows e.g. a decline in bullying of disabled children, or a decrease in homophobic or transphobic bullying, would also be relevant here.

For some protected characteristics – religion, and particularly sexual orientation, for example - statistical data about pupils is less likely to be available, and it may well not be considered appropriate to try to obtain it. More general data about the issues associated with these particular protected characteristics, from which schools should be able to identify possible issues which may affect their own pupils, will be easily available however. For example, information from groups such as Stonewall or GIRES about the experience of gay or trans pupils in schools generally may help schools to understand how best to support their own LGBT pupils. Such information (or links to/extracts from it) may be included among a school’s published material, alongside information about any initiatives taken, or policies developed, to promote equality for particular groups (such as measures to address racist or homophobic bullying).

Fostering good relations across characteristics - between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

It should be particularly easy for schools to demonstrate that they are fostering good relations since promoting good relations between people and groups of all kinds is inherent in many things which they do as a matter of course. It may be shown through – for example - aspects of the curriculum which promote tolerance and friendship, or which share understanding of a range of religions or cultures, the behaviour and anti-bullying policies, assemblies dealing with relevant issues, involvement with the local communities, twinning arrangements with other schools which enable pupils to meet and exchange experiences with children from different backgrounds, or initiatives to deal with tensions between different groups of pupils within the school itself.

5.6 Engagement

When deciding what to do to tackle equality issues, schools may want to consult and engage both with people affected by their decisions - parents, pupils, staff, members of the local community – and with people who have special knowledge which can inform the school’s approach, such as disability equality groups and other relevant special interest organisations. Evidence of this engagement can also usefully be included in the published material showing how the duty has been addressed.

5.7 How to Publish Information

It is up to schools to decide in what format they publish equality information. For most schools, the simplest approach may be to set up an equalities page on their website where all this information is present or links to it are available. The regulations are not prescriptive and it will be entirely up to schools to decide how they publish the information, so long as it is accessible to those members of the school community and the public who want to see it.

5.8 Equality Objectives

Schools are free to choose the equality objectives that best suit their individual circumstances and contribute to the welfare of their pupils and the school community. Objectives are not

intended to be burdensome or a 'tick box' exercise, but they do need to be specific and measurable. They should be used as a tool to help improve the school experience of a range of different pupils. A school should set as many objectives as it believes are appropriate to its size and circumstances; the objectives should fit the school's needs and should be achievable.

Although it is no longer a requirement for schools to have an equality action plan, those schools which do already have one (or more) of these in place, may find it helpful to continue with this approach and adapt it to take into account the extent of the duty.

Equality objectives may arise from analysis schools have carried out on their published data or other information, where they have identified an area where there is potential for improvement on equalities, or they may – for example - be set in anticipation of a change in local circumstances. Some examples might be:

- to narrow the gap in performance of disabled pupils;
- to increase understanding between religious groups;
- to reduce the number of homophobic incidents;
- to raise attainment in English for boys;
- to encourage girls to consider non-stereotyped career options;
- to anticipate the needs of incoming pupils from a new group, such as traveller children.

Publication of information in future years should include evidence of the steps being taken and progress made towards meeting the equality objectives that the school has already set itself.

Chapter 6 – Local Authorities and Education Function

6.1 School Admissions

LA's play a key role in the school admissions process in schools. The discrimination provisions on age do not extend to anything a school does in relation to school admissions, so existing approaches in which admissions and transition between schools are determined by a child's age will not be open to challenge.

The discrimination provisions on religion or belief also do not extend to anything a school does in relation to admissions to a school with a religious character. For example, a discrimination claim against a local authority in respect of its role in the admissions process of a school with a religious character would fail, provided the school was over-subscribed and therefore lawfully prioritising places to children of its religion.

6.2 School Transport

LA's are responsible for the provision of transport to schools for pupils living in their authorities and the discrimination provisions on age and religion or belief do not extend to these transport arrangements. So, for example, a LA would not be unlawfully discriminating simply if it arranges a school bus to a faith school on the outskirts of town but not to another maintained school in the area – or if it lays on transport to a primary school but not to the nearby secondary school.

Whilst the discrimination provisions in the above two equality areas do not extend to school transport, local authorities still need to ensure that their transport policies do not unlawfully discriminate in relation to other protected characteristics or contravene the Human Rights Act and also that they comply with the [Statutory School Transport Guidance](#) issued in 2007 following the Education and Inspections Act 2006. This makes clear, for example, that the same provision for transport should be made to enable the child of non-religious parents to attend a maintained school if the parent feels that this is important in view of their own belief system, as is made to enable the child of religious parents to attend a faith school which is not the nearest to their home.

6.3 Reasonable Adjustments for Disabled Pupils

Chapter 4 covered the responsibility on schools in relation to providing reasonable adjustments for disabled pupils. LAs, in relation to their education functions, are under the same duty - to have accessibility strategies, provide reasonable adjustments for school pupils, with the aim of avoiding disadvantage, and providing auxiliary aids and services.

Chapter 7 – How the Act is Enforced

The enforcement mechanisms contained in this Act are similar to those under existing legislation. This Chapter summarises very briefly how they work in relation to the education provisions of the Act.

7.1 Discrimination Claims – Court Proceedings

Proceedings in relation to a contravention of the education provisions of this Act (other than disability) will be brought in a county court by the pupil (or in the pupil's name).

Proceedings must be brought within 6 months of the date of the act to which the claim relates, although the county court has power to extend this period if it considers it just and equitable to do so.

If the court rules that there has been a contravention then it has the power to award an appropriate remedy including an award of damages.

7.2 Discrimination Claims – Tribunal Proceedings for Disability Cases

Specialist tribunals which have experience and knowledge of disability issues will hear cases of contravention of the education provisions on grounds of disability. In England this will be the First-tier Tribunal.

Claims of discrimination or harassment against a pupil by a school will be made to the tribunal by the parent of the pupil.

As with the county court for other types of discrimination, claims have to be brought within 6 months of the act to which the claim relates and the tribunal has the power to consider claims after that time has passed if it considers it just and equitable to do so.

If the tribunal rules that there has been a contravention then it has the power to make an order of a remedy which it sees as appropriate. Such a remedy will be with a view to removing or reducing the adverse affect on the pupil concerned. However, the remedy in a disability case will not include payment of compensation. It is expected that an education remedy will be the most appropriate – for example, if the tribunal finds that a school has discriminated against a disabled pupil by failing to provide extra help needed to compensate for their disability it may order the school to put in place the necessary measures to meet their needs and help them to catch up with other pupils.

7.3 Burden of Proof

A provision for schools is the reversal of the burden of proof in cases of contravention of the Act's provisions in both court and tribunal cases. This brings education cases into line with the rest of the legislation. It means that if a complainant can establish facts which could lead to the conclusion that an act of discrimination has taken place, then it will be down to the respondent (in this case the school and the Trust) to show that the reason for what happened was something other than discrimination. However, such defensive reasoning will only be necessary if a case is brought and schools are not expected to develop a body of evidence to justify their everyday actions.

7.4 The 'Questions Procedure'

The Act extends to schools procedures which already exist elsewhere for complainants to ask questions in respect of a contravention of the Act before a formal case is taken to the County Court. This means that if a pupil believes that they have been discriminated against or harassed by their school then, before deciding whether to bring a case, they can ask questions of the school

and the Trust about their treatment.

It is hoped that this procedure will help to establish the facts in a way which may make it possible to address problems at local level and reduce the number of cases going to a court or an employment tribunal. Where cases do go further, it will be helpful to have a common understanding of the issues involved, and this can speed up the process.

Questions asked by a pupil (either on the prescribed forms or otherwise) and the answer by the school can be used as part of evidence in any subsequent court or tribunal case.

A court or tribunal can draw an adverse inference from a lack of a response after 8 weeks or from a vague or evasive answer by the school – which means that giving such a response, or none, would not be in the school's best interests. The only exception to this would be if the school can show that giving a different response would have an effect on any criminal matters or proceedings.

Chapter 8 – Education Specific Employment Provisions

The general provisions on employment apply to the Trust in their role as employers and this is briefly summarised below, however, schools should consult the EHRC’s guidance and Codes of Practice for Employers for more detailed information on the employment provisions of the Act and how they will be affected as an employer. In addition, there are some specific employment issues just for schools and an employment provision on health questioning which will affect schools and these are summarised below.

8.1 What the Act Covers

All of the protected characteristics, including age, are covered by the employment provisions of the Act.

As an employer, the Trust must not discriminate against a potential employee in respect of whether to offer a job or the terms on which it offers a job and it must not discriminate against an existing employee in respect of the benefits facilities and services it offers to its employees including training opportunities, promotion or dismissal. For example, the Trust must not demand higher/better qualifications from female applicants for teaching posts than it does for male applicants. It could not dismiss a black teacher who was discovered using school facilities for personal use if it also discovered a white teacher using the same facilities but did not dismiss him – unless the reason for the different treatment could be demonstrated to be something other than their race. Harassment against potential or existing employees in relation to any of the protected characteristics is also unlawful, as is victimisation of any person who has done a protected act.

8.2 Reasonable Adjustments

The Trust as an employer is under the same duties to make reasonable adjustments in relation to disability for their employees or potential employees as they are for their pupils as set out in Chapter 4. They must make reasonable adjustments to arrangements or practices to alleviate disadvantage and must also take reasonable steps to provide any necessary auxiliary aids and services. They are also under the duty to consider alterations to physical features of the school where that is reasonable to avoid disadvantage caused by disability.

8.3 Enquiries about Health and Disability

A provision introduced by the Act makes it unlawful for an employer to enquire about the health of an applicant for a job until a job offer has been made, unless the questions are specifically related to an intrinsic function of the work - for example ensuring that applicants for a PE teaching post have the physical capability to carry out the duties. There are potential implications in relation to establishing teachers’ fitness and ability to teach (as required by the Health Standards (England) Regulations 2003). The Trust has reviewed our practice to ensure we are complying with both the Health Standards Regulations and Section 60 of the Equality Act.

The Trust should no longer, as a matter of course, require job applicants to complete a generic ‘all encompassing’ health questionnaire as part of the application procedure. Instead the Trust should ask any health questions which are necessary to ensure that the applicant can carry out an intrinsic function of the work for the post they have applied for. The Trust may decide to ask necessary health questions after job offer. In either case, they should ensure that any health-related questions are targeted, necessary and relevant to the job applied for.

In addition, these provisions will also affect recruitment practices under the Safeguarding Children and Safer Recruitment in Education Guidance, section 4.34 of which advises the Trust to seek out past sickness records of candidates before interview. In order to ensure compliance with these provisions, the Trust does not seek out past sickness records until they have made a

conditional job offer.

8.4 Employment Exceptions for Schools with a Religious Character

There are some specific exceptions to the religion or belief provisions of the Equality Act for employment by schools designated as having a religious character.

For the purposes of legislation, these schools fall into two broad categories – voluntary aided (VA) is one category and voluntary controlled (VC) and foundation schools together form the other. VA schools have more autonomy than VC/foundation schools, especially in terms of employment. Academies, free schools and independent schools with a religious character generally operate under conditions which mirror those in VA schools.

All of the situations described here are provided for by existing legislation which has not been changed by the Equality Act.

Further Information

Detailed guidance on the employment provisions of the Act can be found [here](#) and the statutory Code of Practice on employment [here](#).

Monitoring and Review of this Policy

This policy may be amended at any time to take account of changes in legislation. The normal cycle of review for this policy will be 2 years.